## Teacher observation of problem-solving circles for solving proofs

Group # and	Lead	Investigator	Paralegal	General Comments/Observations
Names	Attorney			
Group #				
Group #				
Group #				
Group #				
Group #				

## PROOF COURT GRADING RUBRIC USED BY JUDGE

DESCRIPTION	UNACCEPTABLE	STRUGGLING	AVERAGE	ACHIEVING	EXCELLING	Each of your
POINTS	0	4	6	8	10	PROOF COURT
STATEMENT OF PROBLEM	No case, no evidence presented to prove case	Confusing, not convincing at all, no credible statements made	Not clear, some credible statements made, cast some doubt	Clear and strong case, very convincing	Crystal Clear, proven beyond the shadow of a doubt	presentations will be graded using this rubric
POINTS	0	8	12	16	20	Use this rubric as you are
LOGICAL ARGUMENT (DOUBLE POINTS)	No interpretable attempt made at building argument	No discernable path from given statement to statement to be proved, many missing or unnecessary steps	Discernable path from given statement to statement to be proved, a few missing or unnecessary steps	Clear and concise argument from given statement to statement to be proved.	Unusual or atypical correct proof	preparing you proofs and presentations.
POINTS	0	15	20	25	30	
KNOWLEDGE OF DEFINITIONS, POSTULATES, AND THEOREMS	No evidence of knowledge of definitions, postulates, and theorems.	Regular misuse of definitions, postulates, and theorems. Makes up or mixes up definitions, postulates, and theorems.	A few misuses or incorrect definitions, postulates, and theorems.	Appropriate use of Definitions, Postulates and Theorems.	Advanced correct usage of Definitions, Postulates and Theorems.	
POINTS	0	10	20	30	40	
FORMAT	No two- column structure, sloppy hand-drawn diagram or no diagram.	No two-column structure, sloppy hand- drawn diagram.	Two column proofs, neatly drawn diagram.	Precise two column proof, neatly drawn and labeled diagram.	Precise two column proof, neatly drawn and labeled diagram givens and new statements indicated on diagram.	
POINTS	0	15	30	45	50	
Courtroom Presentation	Not able to present in court	Little or no eye contact, volume a problem, unprepared	eye contact and volume a problem at times, appears somewhat unprepared	some eye contact, acceptable volume and preparation	good eye contact and volume, confident and extreme preparation clear	/ 15

## Trial rubric for jurors

Group #	 Group #	·
Names:	Names:	

PROSECUTION

**DEFENSE** 

	PROSECUTION		DEFEN	<u>JL</u>	
		Notes:			Notes:
On	ening Statement		On	ening Statement	
Op	ching Gtatement		_ OP	cining Statement	
E 4 2 2 4 0	Dalawant annuanista assuments		E 4 2 2 4 0	Delevent engageiste engage	
	Relevant, appropriate comments		543210		
543210	Delivery Style		543210	Delivery Style	
DDOSE	CUTING ATTORNEY		DEEL	ENSE ATTORNEY	
PROSE	COTING ATTORNET		DEFI	ENSE ATTORNET	
O	as in Decrease to		D	and the Cons	
	ne in Response to		P16	esentation of the Case	
Pres	<u>sentation</u>				
			543210	Questions: Well-written	
543210	Questions: Well-written (non-			(leading)	
	leading)				
5 4 9 9 4 9			543210	FOCUS of questions was	
543210	FOCUS of questions was			obvious. Goals of questioning	
	obvious. Goals of questioning			were clear.	
	were clear		0015		
			3210	POISE of Defense. Overall	
3 2 1 0	POISE of Prosecution. Overall			composure, handling of	
	composure, handling of			objections, preparation, etc	
	objections, preparation, etc				
ASS	SISTANT/WITNESS		ASS	ISTANT/WITNESS	
543210	<u>KNOWLEDGE</u>		543210	<u>KNOWLEDGE</u>	
3210	POISE focused, supportive		3210	POISE focused, supportive	
3210	OVERALL character		3210	OVERALL character	
	believable? Comfort level of			believable? Comfort level of	
	witness: was it too staged?			witness: was it too staged?	
ASS	ISTANT/WITNESS		ASS	ISTANT/WITNESS	
, .50			/.55		
543210	KNOWLEDGE		543210	KNOWLEDGE	
0 7 0 2 1 0	MACANELDOL		0 7 0 2 1 0	MINOWLLDGL	
3210	POISE focused, supportive		3210	POISE focused, supportive	
3210	FOISE TOCUSEU, SUPPORTIVE		3210	FOISE Todased, supportive	
3210	OVERALL character		3210	OVERALL character	
3210	OVERALL character		3210	believable? Comfort level of	
	believable? Comfort level of				
	witness, was it too staged?			witness, was it too staged?	
CL	CING Argument		CI 4	CINC Argument	
CLC	OSING Argument		CLC	OSING Argument	
543210	Relevant, appropriate		543210	Relevant, appropriate	
	comments, use of testimony			comments, use of testimony	
543210	Delivery Style, poise		543210	Delivery Style, poise	
		1	1		-1

TOTAL FOR PROSECUTION:	TOTAL FOR DEFENSE:
Teacher's signature	

## Collaborative group work rubric

Collaborative Group Work Assessment:	Collaborative Group Work: Self Reflection Log				
(Solving Proof, Creating Presentation, Courtroom Presentation)	Rate your own group participation:				
5 possible points- refer to matrix on back	Group Participation Reflection	Always	Sometimes	Rarely	
Names of People in My Group:	1.I shared my ideas and answers with my group.				
	2. I asked questions when I did not understand something.				
	3. I helped people to understand when they had problems.				
	4. I was cooperative and worked well with other people in the				
	5. I stayed on the assigned task.				
What we did well:		l	1		
	<ul> <li>Write brief comments about yourself as a group member</li> <li>In my group, I was good at</li> </ul>	oer:			
What we need to improve:	Next time I will try to be better at				
Points we think we earned:/5	I feel my group was (one word)				

Collaborative Group Work Rubric: 5 points					
Level I Minimal Achievement 1 point	Level II Rudimentary Achievement 2 points	Level III Commendable Achievement 3 points	Level IV Superior Achievement 4 points	Level V Exceptional Achievement 5 points	
One person does most of the speaking  Very brief conversations as a group  Some students are disinterested or not on task	Relying mostly on one person  Only one or two persons actively participate  Sporadic interaction by group members  Conversation is not entirely centered on topic	Some interactions by all members of the group  At least half the students confer or present ideas  Attentive reading of documents and listening  Some evidence of discussion of alternatives	Students show ability to interact well with each other  At least ¾ of students actively participate  Lively discussion centers on the task	All students enthusiastically participate  Responsibility for tasks is shared  Students reflect awareness of others' views and alternate opinions  Questions and answers illustrate forethought and preparation	
Notes/Solving the Proof:	Notes	Creating Presentation:	Notes/Courtroom	Presentation:	