

Jesse Helms and the Speaker Ban ^[1]

Share it now!



The appearance of [Stokely Carmichael](#) last week on the campuses of several North Carolina colleges and universities, including ones owned and financed by the taxpayers, served the useful purpose of emphasizing the worthy intentions of the 1963 General Assembly which enacted the original [communist](#) ^[2] speaker ban law. The appearances of this highly-publicized advocate of violence also supply a measurement of the responsibility—or the lack of it—of college administrators who loudly condemned the 1963 legislature for what was claimed to be an invasion of "academic freedom."

To be sure, the 1963 law was two years later watered down to the point of [emasculatation](#) ^[3] by a timid and frightened legislature which surrendered to threats and intimidation chorused by a [gaggle](#) ^[4] of [leftwing](#) ^[5] editors and educators. And, thereafter, even the tattered remnants of the law were set aside by a federal court. This series of events was regarded by some campus administrators as, no doubt, a [mandate](#) ^[6] to permit anybody—no matter how violent, or crude, or treasonous—to make free use of facilities created and maintained by the taxpayers of this state.

There are a number of things that ought to be considered in the aftermath of this episode. First of all—and obviously this doesn't matter to some politicians and educators—there is what appears to be almost unanimous resentment among citizens of this state that Carmichael was given the respectability of a forum—at the taxpayers' expense—on the campuses of the University of North Carolina. Copies of the letters written by outraged citizens, and addressed to Governor Moore and to University officials, are flowing across our desk. The Governor, even if he does have only a month left in office, owes the public some explanation. And the University trustees ought to produce *someone* in their midst willing to represent the public concern.

Secondly, the public should not be misled into the false assumption that the Carmichael appearances amounted to nothing. There were many declarations, during the months that the [Speaker Ban Law](#) ^[7] was under assault, that college students of today are "too mature to be deceived"—that they are able to "see through" a phony rabble-rouser. Let the record show, if news reports are accurate, that there were 5,000 on hand for Stokely Carmichael's speech at Chapel Hill, that he was given a standing ovation when he entered the auditorium and that his advocacies of violence and [anarchy](#) ^[8] were interrupted with applause on numerous occasions.

If this is what the educators call a mature "search for the truth," then maybe it's time for the educators to be called upon for some definitions of "maturity" and "truth."

And, finally, the recently-elected men and women who will serve in the 1969 General Assembly ought to obtain a copy of the federal court decision that declared the much-battered 1963 Speaker Ban Law unconstitutional. It is important to bear in mind that the court absolutely did not contend that the state has no right to control the type of speakers who appear on campuses which the taxpayers are required to support. The court merely found fault with the *language* of the 1963 law, which was admittedly drawn in haste and which contained a lack of sophistication of draftsmanship which can easily be improved under circumstances of more time and less pressure.

The point is that *somebody* needs to provide assurance that the state-owned college campuses are not to be continually abused by the negligence, or the fearfulness, or the irresponsibility of administrators willing to permit any [muckraking](#) ^[9] apostle of violence who happens to come along to make use of campus facilities. The Carmichael episode indicates that the college authorities may lack the backbone to do what they ought to do. If this is so, then the state legislature has not only the authority but the duty to step in.

Admittedly, the process of screening speakers is not an easy one. But the kind of salaries paid to college administrators does not suggest that they should have easy jobs. Many of them are paid nearly as much as, and sometimes more than, the Governor of this state. The taxpayers have a right to assume that in exchange for this kind of compensation, the

administrators will perform in an able, intelligent and courageous manner.

Such performances were not evident on some of our campuses last week.

User Tags:

[Communism](#) ^[10]

[history](#) ^[11]

[Jesse Helms](#) ^[12]

[North Carolina](#) ^[13]

[North Carolina History](#) ^[14]

[Page](#) ^[15]

[politics](#) ^[16]

[Speaker Ban](#) ^[17]

[Students](#) ^[18]

[Teachers](#) ^[19]

[All Rights Reserved](#) ^[20]

From:

[LEARN NC North Carolina History: A Digital Textbook](#) ^[21]

Copyright Date:

1968

Related Topics:

over

WRAL-TV VIEWPOINT

An Editorial Expression of the Voice of Free Enterprise in Raleigh - Durham

Jesse Helms, Executive Vice President and Vice Chairman of the Board



1976/11-26-68

The appearances of Stokely Carmichael last week on the campuses of several North Carolina colleges and universities, including ones owned and financed by the taxpayers, served the useful purpose of emphasizing the worthy intentions of the 1963 General Assembly which enacted the original communist speaker ban law. The appearances of this highly-publicized advocate of violence also supply a measurement of the responsibility--or the lack of it--of college administrators who loudly condemned the 1963 legislature for what was claimed to be an invasion of "academic freedom".

To be sure, the 1963 law was two years later watered down to the point of emasculation by a timid and frightened legislature which surrendered to threats and intimidation chorused by a gaggle of leftwing editors and educators. And, thereafter, even the tattered remnants of the law were set aside by a federal court. This series of events was regarded by some campus administrators as, no doubt, a mandate to permit anybody--no matter how violent, or crude, or treasonous--to make free use of facilities created and maintained by the taxpayers of this state.

There are a number of things that ought to be considered in the aftermath of this episode. First of all--and obviously this doesn't matter to some politicians and educators--there is what appears to be almost unanimous resentment among the citizens of this state that Carmichael was given the respectability of a forum--at the taxpayers' expense--on the campuses of the University of North Carolina. Copies of letters written by outraged citizens, and addressed to Governor Moore and to University officials, are flowing across our desk. The Governor, even if he does have only a month left in office, owes the public some explanation. And the University trustees ought to produce someone in their midst willing to represent the public concern.

Secondly, the public should not be misled into the false assumption that the Carmichael appearances amounted to nothing. There were many declarations, during the months that the Speaker Ban Law was under assault, that college students of today are "too mature to be deceived"--that they are able to "see through" a phony rabble-rouser. Let the record show, if news reports are accurate, that there were 5,000 on hand for Stokely Carmichael's speech at Chapel Hill, that he was given a standing ovation when he entered

This station's editorials are solely the responsibility of the owners and are voiced by our executive vice president, Mr. Jesse Helms, after an editorial board has agreed upon their contents.
A. J. Fletcher, Chairman of the Board & Chief Executive Officer, Capitol Broadcasting Company
(Operators of WRAL-TV and WRAL-FM, Raleigh, N. C.)

[22]

This excerpt of an editorial written in 1968 addressed concerns of "Black Power" activist Stokely Carmichael appearing at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.

3 January 2018

Source URL: <https://ncpedia.org/anchor/jesse-helms-and-speaker-ban>

Links

- [1] <https://ncpedia.org/anchor/jesse-helms-and-speaker-ban>
- [2] <https://ncpedia.org/glossary/communist>
- [3] <https://ncpedia.org/glossary/emasculatation>
- [4] <https://ncpedia.org/glossary/gaggle>
- [5] <https://ncpedia.org/glossary/leftwing>

- [6] <https://ncpedia.org/glossary/mandate>
- [7] <https://digital.ncdcr.gov/digital/collection/p16062coll12/id/1257>
- [8] <https://ncpedia.org/glossary/anarchy>
- [9] <https://ncpedia.org/glossary/muckraking>
- [10] <https://ncpedia.org/category/user-tags/communism>
- [11] <https://ncpedia.org/category/user-tags/history>
- [12] <https://ncpedia.org/category/user-tags/jesse-helms>
- [13] <https://ncpedia.org/category/user-tags/north-carolina-5>
- [14] <https://ncpedia.org/category/user-tags/north-carolina-6>
- [15] <https://ncpedia.org/category/user-tags/page>
- [16] <https://ncpedia.org/category/user-tags/politics>
- [17] <https://ncpedia.org/category/user-tags/speaker-ban>
- [18] <https://ncpedia.org/category/user-tags/students>
- [19] <https://ncpedia.org/category/user-tags/teachers>
- [20] <https://ncpedia.org/category/user-tags/all-rights>
- [21] <https://ncpedia.org/category/entry-source/learn-nc>
- [22] <https://www.ncpedia.org/media/transcript-televised-0>