Home > ANCHOR > North Carolina in the New South (1870-1900) > Factories and Mill Villages > Primary Source: Opposition to the Knights of Labor

Primary Source: Opposition to the Knights of Labor III

The editorial below appeared The Tobacco Plant, a Durham newspaper in February 1887. In the editorial, the author expressed concern about the Knights of Labor, a labor organization founfed in Philadelphia in 1869. Read the excerpts printed here to understand the author's concerns and how these concerns reflected attitudes among some whites of the time.

The "Pauper Labor" of the South

Some of the Northern newspapers are devoting more space than brains to an<u>alleged</u> [2] "discussion" of the "pauper labor of the South." As a rule, the men who <u>indite</u> [3] these editorials are as ignorant of Southern institutions, the people of this section and the relations existing in Dixie between employer and employed as a razor-back hog is of artillery practice; but, like the meddling <u>abolitionists</u> [4] of a quarter century ago, these Northern pencil-pushers are very sure that we are not capable of regulating our own affairs, and they are equally certain that we should accept the teachings and obey the instructions which proceed from the <u>omniscience</u> [5] of their infinite minds and pens...

Now, the writer has seen a good deal of the North and its "institutions" of late years. It is a great country -- a very great country, in many respects. There are a great many things, <u>animate</u> [6] and <u>inanimate</u> [7], to be seen there which we do not have in North Carolina or in the South. Their cities are larger and their business buildings are bigger than ours. Their hotels are conducted on a more "extensive" scale -- we stopped at one in Ohio where whites and blacks occupied <u>adjoining</u> [8] rooms, sometimes the same room, and ate at the same table. At another hotel in the same State, the <u>proprietor</u> [9] was found to be a very black man and his wife a very white woman, and the "guests" of both races were waited on by tolerably white servant girls. That is one of the "animate" things which we do not have here, to the <u>chagrin</u> [10] of <u>District Assembly 49</u>, be it said. It is a matter of taste. But our "guardians" of the North are slow to<u>concede</u> [11] that we have a right to taste as we do. Indeed, some of them tell us we have no business to taste at all unless taste as they taste. Social equality has a bitter taste to us, although it is a sweet morsel to some people of the North, and because it is not <u>palatable</u> [12] to our social stomachs they want to force it down our throats...

We dispute the right of no man to join any labor organization, and it is clearly his privilege to say what he will and what and who he will not work for, and to exercise himself in any honorable and lawful way to obtain the maximum price for his labor. But we do say, and we say <u>emphatically</u> [13], that it is not his right to set a price on the labor of other men, and it is not his privilege to decree whether or no his neighbor -- who does not happen to be a member of, and is unwilling to join his order -- shall work for an employer for wages which and the members of his organization decline to accept. On the same principle we submit that no employer or other representative of capital has a right to say what wages his competitors in business shall pay or whom they shall employ.

Source Citation:

"The 'Pauper Labor' of the South." The Tobacco Plant. February 2, 1887.

User Tags: Durham County [14] history [15] industry [16] Knights of Labor [17] labor unions [18] North Carolina [19] North Carolina History [20] Page [21] Students [22] Teachers [23] tobacco [24] All Rights Reserved [25] From: ANCHOR: A North Carolina History Online Resource [26] **Copyright Date:** 2008 Artifacts:

THE "PAUPER LABOR" OF	-
THE SOUTH.	4
Some of the Northern newspapers	lot fre
re deroting more space than brains an alleged "discussion" of the pupper labor of the South." As a	Ite No
pusper labor of the South." As a	pe
ule, the men who indite these edito- ials are as ignorant of Southern in-	per the
titutions, the people of this section	Int
and the relations existing in Dixie setwoen employer and employed as	bei ril
more-back log is of artillery prac-	b0
ice; but, like the meddling abolition- sts of a quarter of a century ago,	C181 500
hese Northern pencil-pushers are	ha
very sure that we are not capable of egulating our own afficies, and they	pe W
ite equally certain that we should	00
ecept the teachings and obey the in-	m
tructions which proceed from the	141 the
surgiscience of their infinite minds and pens. It never occurs to these contradictic missionaries that their	he
ournalistic missionaries that their mu neighborhoods furnish the	00
argest unmown theoretical fields,	201
sor that they could find more work has they could perform in their	ph wi
own cities and States by getting down	pe
off their theoretic stills and under-	ha
aking the job of practicing and mak- age their neighbors practice what	10
they preach. This ante-bellum Pharical attitude of assumed tu-	
chargeneal attratude of assumed tu-	
islage is, by us, as disgusting as it is fidiculous. It is a chostnut, and we-	-
ion't-like-chestnuts.	be au
Now; the writer has seen a good leal of the North and its "institu-	Ŀi
leal of the North and its "institu- tions" of late years. It is a great	th
country	to
	10 10
mate, to be seen there which we do	Y
mate, to be seen there which we do not have in North Carolina or in the South. Their cities are larger and	10
their business buildings are biever	lo
their business buildings are bigger than ours. Their hotels are con- ducted on a more "extensive" scale	li
-we stupped at one or. Onto where	th in
whites and blacks occupied adjoin-	in
ing rooms, sometimes the same room, and are at the same table. At	h-
another hotel in the some State the	nl
proprietor was found to be a very black mun and his wife a very white	ul la
more way and also thereast if my heath	6
woman, and the gursts of some moves were waited on by tolerably white scream girls. That is one of the "animate" things which we do not have here, to the engrin of Dis- trict Assemble 49 he to sold. It is a	N
white scrunt girls. That is one of the "animate" things which we do	th
not have here, to the chagrin of Dis-	÷1
triet Assembly 49, be it said. It is a matter of taste. But our "guardians"	4
of the North are slow to concede that	14
we have a right to taste as we do. Indeed, some of them tell us we have	11
the baseliness to bests of all unless tee	a
instead of the state of the second se	h: el
has a botter haste to us, although it is a sweet morsel to some people of	si
the North, and because it is not pai-	a
stable to our social stomaches they want to force it down our thronts,	int.
nolean coleas. By "they" we mean	-1
want to force it down our throats, soleas ofcas. By "they" we mean an element, a radical element, in the North. We know many most con-	8 0
servative and estimable propie in the	0
North-the majority of our North-	*
ern brethren are such, perhaps; but this moddling, radical element is al-	11
ready too large and constantly grow-	
ing, and a main with half an eye can see the tendency of the effort that is	10
now making to sow the words of dis-	ul ti
sension and annest among the negro	
laborers of the South, and some of the Knights of Labor assemblies of	1
the North, we lite sorry to see, are	1.00
use the word "plot" advisedly, for it	19
is a deliberate attempt on the part	3.4
of somebody to disrupt the entire la- ter system of the South, the farm	1
hands included and it is to this class	1.64
of negro firm labor that this article has special reference.	t
	0
Now, we desire to have it clearly and distinctly understood, in the be-	4
separation that Tare Transcop Drager is	1.44
the friend of the workingman, as such, and that this paper is kindly	1
subloand reactions nuclearing on the	
bor, as an organization designed (as	F
is claimed) to better the condition of the workingman. We dispute the	i
right of no man to join any labor or- gunization, and it is clearly his priv-	1
generation, and it is clearly his priv- ilege to say what he will and what	1
ilege to say what he will and what and who he will not work for, and	ł
to exervise himself in any homorable and havful way to obtain the maxi-	0.5
mum price for his labor. But we do	n
say, and we say emphatically, that	1.4
it is set his right to set a price on the labor of other men, and it is not his	t
privilege to decree whether or no his	1
neighbor-who does not happen to be a member of, and is unwilling to induction this sector.	ti
four ups orner-shart work for an	
employer for wages which he and the members of his organization decline	
to accept: On the same principle we	(1 b
submit that no employer or other ren-	1.18
resentative of capital has a right to say what wages his competitors in	
say what wages his competitors in business shall pay or whom they shall employ. Such a position,	
empioye, as inquisinance dinner cedi-	1.00
nary circumstances, and any at- tempt on the part of either on any	10.0
tempt on the part of either on any occusion to put into practice such	41 12
	in A
sonable and unfair undertaking-it	11
sonable and unfair undertaking-it would be an EXTAWINT proceeding	12.4
sounble and unfair undertaking—it would be an UXLAWPUL proceeding. In the next issue of Tux PLANT we will follow up the subject by pub- lishing the concluding portion of	1

The entire article, "The 'Pauper' Labor of the South," from the February 2, 1887 edition of Tobacco Plant .

Source URL: https://ncpedia.org/anchor/primary-source-opposition

Links

[1] https://ncpedia.org/anchor/primary-source-opposition [2] https://ncpedia.org/glossary/alleged [3] https://ncpedia.org/glossary/indite [4] https://ncpedia.org/glossary/abolitionist [5] https://ncpedia.org/glossary/anniscience [6] https://ncpedia.org/glossary/annimate [7] https://ncpedia.org/glossary/chagrin [11] https://ncpedia.org/glossary/adjoin [9] https://ncpedia.org/glossary/proprietor [10] https://ncpedia.org/glossary/chagrin [11] https://ncpedia.org/glossary/concede [12] https://ncpedia.org/glossary/platable [13] https://ncpedia.org/glossary/emphatically [14] https://ncpedia.org/category/user-tags/durham-county [15] https://ncpedia.org/category/user-tags/history [16] https://ncpedia.org/category/user-tags/industry [17] https://ncpedia.org/category/user-tags/knights-labor [18] https://ncpedia.org/category/user-tags/north-carolina-5 [20] https://ncpedia.org/category/user-tags/north-carolina-6 [21] https://ncpedia.org/category/user-tags/page [22] https://ncpedia.org/category/user-tags/students [23] https://ncpedia.org/category/user-tags/teachers [24] https://ncpedia.org/category/user-tags/tobacco [25] https://ncpedia.org/category/user-tags/all-rights [26] https://ncpedia.org/category/entrysource/anchor-north [27] https://ncpedia.org/media/image/pauper-labor-south